







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/543,264	04/05/2000	Benjamin D. Pless	459992000700	6469
75	590 01/11/2002			
Morris & Foester LLP			EXAMINER	
755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018			BRADFORD, F	RODERICK D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2002	ı

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(a)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/543,264	PLESS, BENJAMIN D. Art Unit
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	
	he MAILING DATE of this communicat	Roderick Bradford	vith the correspondence address
Period for R	eply		
THE MAI - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA s of time may be available under the provisions of 33 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the reply is specified above, the maximum statuto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the pyeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>05 April 2000</u> .	
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition follosed in accordance with the practice	r allowance except for formal m under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 0	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	of Claims		•
•	aim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the app		
4a	Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) <u></u> Cl	aim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) <u></u> CI	aim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) <u></u> Cl	aim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ C	aim(s) <u>1-81</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application	Papers		
ļ · · · ·	e specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		y the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)∐ Th	e proposed drawing correction filed of	on is: a) approved b) [disapproved by the Examiner.
	f approved, corrected drawings are requ	ired in reply to this Office action.	
12) Th	e oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·
13) A	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1	All b) Some * c) None of:		·
1	☐ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
2	. Certified copies of the priority d		n Application No
	Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have be tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	en received in this National Stage)).
140 🗆 🐧	traciled detailed Office details	domestic priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
l a)	☐ The translation of the foreign land	uage provisional application ha	s been received.
	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic phonty under 55 0.5	33 120 dilator 121
Attachment(∆ □ 1-4	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) 5) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-65, drawn to the method and apparatus for treating neurological conditions, classified in class 607, subclass 45.
- II. Claims 66-73, drawn to the method for treating neurological conditions by using at least one electrical burst to detect electrical activity, classified in class 607, subclass 45.
- III. Claims 74-76, drawn to method for treating neurological conditions with the use of electrodes, classified in class 607, subclass 45.
- IV. Claims 77-81, drawn to method for treating neurological conditions by using hyperpolarizing pulse, classified in class 607, subclass 45.

Inventions II and I, III and I, and IV and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention of Group II, III, and IV have separate utility such as not requiring varying pulse parameters for treating abnormal neurological conditions, but being the same throughout for treating abnormal neurological conditions. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and II and VI and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group III

Art Unit: 3762

and IV have separate utility such as not determining the pulse interval, but pulse amplitude for treating abnormal neurological conditions. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group IV has separate utility such as not requiring independent pulse parameters to be spatially separated by electrodes, but by using a single electrode pulse for treating abnormal neurological conditions. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiments 1-4 represented by the pulse parameters being pulse-to-pulse, pulse amplitude, hyperpolarizing prepulse, and pulse width, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 3762

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Thomas Wheelock on January 4, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (703) 305-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7 a.m. - 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

R.B. January 4, 2002 GEORGE TONISKO
PRIMOTO LA LINER

//\$/62

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application